

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to sincerely thank everyone who helped me finish this thesis. First and foremost, I want to express my thanks to my supervisors, Prof. Tsukasa Ohba and Prof. Euis Tintin Yuningsih, who patiently provided ongoing guidance and supervision for the project and made time for numerous discussions with me. I thank Yumi Hayakawa of Akita University for her ongoing technical assistance. I owe a debt of gratitude to the residents of Mekarsari village and the personnel of PT Istaka Karya, especially those whose help made the field observation at Gede Salak secure and feasible. I want to thank my coworkers at the Petrology and Volcanology Laboratory for including me in discussions about the study. Last but not least, I would want to express my gratitude to my friends in Akita who periodically take me out to unwind and relax after a long day of research. Sincerely, I dedicate this thesis to my parents, who never fail to show me love, support, and motivation.

Bandung, May 24, 2023

Author,

Muhammad Alfath Salvano Salni

## TABLES OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLES OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>TABLES OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Aims and objective .....	2
1.3. Thesis structure .....	3
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1. Geotectonic setting .....	4
2.2. Geology of Gede Salak volcano .....	8
2.3. Research Framework .....	10
2.3.1. Magma evolution of subduction arc volcano .....	10
2.3.2. Magma plumbing system .....	12
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1. Morphological analysis and field investigation .....	14
3.2. Petrography .....	16
3.3. X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) .....	16
3.4. Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) .....	17

3.5. Electron Probe Micro Analyzer (EPMA) .....	18
3.6. Geothermobarometry .....	19
3.6.1. Plagioclase-hornblende thermobarometer.....	19
3.6.2. Single amphibole thermobarometer .....	20
3.6.3. Clinopyroxene-orthopyroxene (two pyroxene pairs) thermobarometer .....	20
3.6.4. Clinopyroxene-melt thermobarometer .....	21
3.7. Depth calculation .....	21
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS .....</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1. The Volcanism of Gede Salak volcano.....	23
4.2. Geochemistry .....	29
4.2.1. SiO <sub>2</sub> contents and classification.....	29
4.2.2. Major and trace elements variation .....	31
4.2.3. N-MORB Normalized Incompatible Trace Elements.....	35
4.2.4. Chondrite-normalized Rare-Earth Elements (REE).....	36
4.3. Petrography .....	38
4.3.1. Lava flow and peripheral dome.....	38
4.3.2. Summit dome .....	39
4.4. Mineral chemistry .....	40
4.4.1. Plagioclase.....	40
4.4.2. Pyroxenes .....	45
4.4.3. Amphibole.....	50
<b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>53</b>

5.1. Fractional crystallization.....	53
5.2. Assimilation and mixing.....	55
5.3. Mineral texture implication .....	58
5.3.1. The implications of texture and zoning in plagioclase.....	58
5.3.2. The implications of texture and zoning in pyroxene.....	60
5.3.3. The implication of amphibole breakdown feature .....	60
5.4. Origin of phenocrysts.....	62
5.5. Magma plumbing and evolution system.....	66
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION .....</b>	<b>72</b>
6.1. Conclusion .....	72
6.2. Suggestion.....	73
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>83</b>

## TABLES OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Map of the Indonesian subduction system showing the general tectonic setting (Hamilton, 1973) and distribution of volcanoes (Siebert et al., 2010). .....	5
Figure 2.2. Tectonic reconstruction of the main continental blocks in SE Asia (Barber et al., 2005; Hall & Sevastjanova, 2012; Metcalfe, 2011). .....	6
Figure 2.3. Tectonic setting and location map of the Sunda Strait and its associated volcano and faults distribution (Schlüter et al., 2002; Susilohadi et al., 2009). ....	7
Figure 2.4. Subduction slab morphology within Sunda Strait based on the model of Slab 2.0 (Hayes et al., 2018). .....	9
Figure 2.5. Volcanic rock and fault distribution of Gede Salak volcano (Rusmana et al., 1991; Widagdo et al., 2021). .....	10
Figure 2.6. Simplified illustration of most commonly cited components in a subduction zone magmatism. ....	12
Figure 2.7. Schematic section of igneous plumbing system from the mantle to the surface of the Earth (Bryan et al., 2010). .....	13
Figure 3.1. The flowchart diagram of the research. ....	14
Figure 3.2. Sample location in Gede Salak volcano. ....	15
Figure 4.1. Morphology of Gede Salak Volcano viewed from Kedepel lava dome .....	23
Figure 4.2. Geological Map of Gede Salak Volcano modified from Kurniawan et al. (2013) and Widagdo et al. (2021). .....	25

Figure 4.3. (a) Massive andesite lava in Salak lava, (b) Prismatic joint found in andesite outcrop of Batu lava, (c) Platy joint developed in andesite outcrop of Gede lava, and (d) Blocky scoriaceous lava clinker at the peak of Batu lava flow. ....	26
Figure 4.4. (a) Debris avalanche deposit, (b) The Lahar deposit, and (c) The contact of Banten tuff and Batu lava. ....	28
Figure 4.5. (a) One of the small peripheral lava domes, (b) an outcrop of Wadas dome displaying platy joints, (c) an outcrop of Peda lava dome with prismatic joints, and (d) Autobrecciated lava clinker located at the peak of Wadas dome. ....	29
Figure 4.6. (a) Plots of sample in TAS diagram (Le Bas et al., 1986) with the boundary of alkali to sub-alkaline rock (Irvine and Baragar, 1971), (b) Rock series of tholeiitic and calc-alkaline utilizing plots of SiO <sub>2</sub> vs. FeO*/MgO (Miyashiro, 1974), (c) Ternary diagram of AFM (Irvine and Baragar, 1971), and (d) Magma affinity based on K <sub>2</sub> O content (Gill, 1981). ....	30
Figure 4.7. Variation diagrams of SiO <sub>2</sub> against major elements of Gede Salak volcano samples. ....	33
Figure 4.8. Variation diagrams of SiO <sub>2</sub> against selected LILE and HFSE of Gede Salak volcano samples. ....	34
Figure 4.9. N-MORB normalized multi-element diagrams of Gede Salak samples. ....	36
Figure 4.10. Chondrite normalized REE diagrams of Gede Salak volcano. ....	37
Figure 4.11. Representative crossed polar microphotographs of (a) patchy core with multiple dissolution-overgrowth, (b) coarse sieved core with melt inclusion, (c) clear plagioclase with a resorbed surface, (d) glomerocryst, (e) oscillatory zoned	

pyroxene, (f) rounded orthopyroxene mantled by clinopyroxene, (g) clinopyroxene with spongy texture rim, (h) amphibole with volumetric decomposition, and (i) clear amphibole and plagioclase aggregate.....	41
Figure 4.12. The distribution of composition in rim and core of plagioclase.....	43
Figure 4.13. Representative BSE image and profile of typical plagioclase texture and zoning.....	44
Figure 4.14. Distribution of clinopyroxene core and rim composition and its relationship. Ranges of composition in equilibrium with each whole rock composition have been calculated utilizing $^{Fe-Mg}K_{Dmin/liq}$ values of 0.23-0.30 (Sisson and Grove, 1993).....	47
Figure 4.15. Distribution of orthopyroxene core and rim composition and its relationship. Ranges of composition in equilibrium with each whole rock composition have been calculated utilizing $^{Fe-Mg}K_{Dmin/liq}$ values of 0.23-0.35 (Putirka, 2008). .....	48
Figure 4.16. Representative BSE image and profile of pyroxene type 1, 2 and 3.	49
Figure 4.17. (a) Type 4 pyroxene displays a dusty rim, (b) Dusty rim restricted to part of glomerocryst that comes in contact with melt, (c) Type 5 pyroxene shows symplectite texture, and (d) Xenocryst showing the aggregate of symplectite texture. ....	50
Figure 4.18. Distribution of amphibole core and rim composition and its relationship. Ranges of composition in equilibrium with each whole rock composition have been calculated utilizing $^{Fe-Mg}K_{Dmin/liq}$ values of 0.17-0.39 (Putirka, 2016). .....	51

Figure 4.19. (a) Unrimmed amphibole displaying irregular decomposition, (b) Symplectite rim in amphibole showing zonation, (c) Irregular decomposition occupying fracture and mineral contact, and (d) Aligned decomposition occupying inner part of the amphibole. ....	52
Figure 5.1. Amphibole fractionation effect on (a) K/Rb, (b) Zr/Hf, (c) Nb/Ta, (d) Sr/Y, (e) La/Yb, and (f) Dy/Yb vs. SiO <sub>2</sub> . The schematic inset shows expected fractionation effects (Davidson et al., 2007). ....	55
Figure 5.2. Indicator of mixing in whole rock and phenocryst composition. Two linear trends indicate mixing in (a) Ta, (b) Mg, and (c) Nb vs. SiO <sub>2</sub> . The combination of (d) K/Rb vs. Rb and phenocryst composition suggests the occurrence of magma mixing. ....	57
Figure 5.3. The origin of phenocrysts is deduced from the compositional equilibrium among series. The bar below represents the scale of anorthite content and magnesium number. ....	65
Figure 5.4. Schematic illustration of the magma plumbing system beneath Gede Salak volcano based on thermobarometry calculation. It shows that the primary magma storage is at the mid-crustal level, while only mafic magma resides in the lower-crustal level. ....	69
Figure 5.5. The comparison of magma storage of several volcanoes in Sunda Arc. ....	71



**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 3.1. The respective depth and estimated densities of crustal rock layers beneath the Gede Salak volcano. ....	22
Table 4.1. The mineral assemblage of representative samples based on 1000-point counting.....	38
Table 5.1. Geothermobarometer calculation results for Gede Salak volcano. Values of temperature and pressure are given as average and range. ....	67

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A. Location of samples.....	83
Appendix B. Whole-rock chemistry data.....	85
Appendix C. Mineral chemistry data .....	88